Sprint's errors are different than Citizens' mistake. Most notably, it has overstated its enduser revenues in the Common Line basket for the purpose of USF distribution by \$7,485,080 and has understated its end-user revenues in the IXC Basket by \$4,288,071. As a result, Sprint overallocated the Universal Service exogenous costs to its common line basket and underallocated to its IXC basket. Using the <u>Access Reform Order's guidelines</u>, AT&T has independently calculated the end-user revenue for Sprint's CL and IXC baskets based on Sprint's current rates. Exhibit N shows that Sprint has overstated its Common Line Basket's exogenous cost by \$367,123, and understated its IXC and Trunking baskets' exogenous costs by \$353,526 and \$13,596.

Ameritech appears to have underestimated its end-user revenues in the Trunking basket for the distribution of USF. It has allocated only \$291,029 of its USF exogenous cost out of a total of \$111,505,176. This allocation indicates that Ameritech has used only \$2,451,070 of its \$67,653,747 Trunking basket revenues for the purpose of USF exogenous cost distribution. This error has resulted in a Common Line basket exogenous cost overstatement of \$6,597,012.

SNET has failed to distribute the Trunking basket's USF exogenous cost amount among the bands and sub-bands based on the relative amounts of end-user in each. Instead, SNET has identified the Trunking basket's Universal Service exogenous cost as "Undesignated to Svc. Bands[,]" in contravention of the Commission's order.

³⁵ Access Reform Order (at n.571) ("[t]he end-user charges assessed on services in the common line basket are recovered through the SLC; in the interexchange basket, end-user charges are recovered through per-minute toll charges").

³⁶ Ameritech TRP, Exhibit 4, page 1 of 2.

Finally, CBT has overstated its Common Line basket's end-user revenues by \$1,220,055, and understated the Interexchange basket's end-user revenue by \$2,042,268 for the purpose of USF exogenous cost distribution among baskets.³⁷ This incorrect reporting of end-user revenues results in an overstatement of the Common Line basket's exogenous cost by \$229,345, and understatement of IXC basket's exogenous cost by \$229,345.

43

³⁷ See CBTC's EXG-USF, page 1 of 2.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Tariff Review Plans indicate that the price cap LECs have failed to properly implement the Commission's access reform directives. Unless corrected, the Commission should suspend and investigate each of the price cap LECs' tariffs when they are filed later in December 1997. In addition, the Commission should suspend the tariffs filed November 26, 1997 by Ameritech, BellSouth, GTOC and GSTC for one day, impose an accounting order, and commence an investigation into their lawfulness, as detailed above.

Respectfully submitted,

AT&T CORP.

Gene C. Schaerr Scott M. Bohannon Carl D. Wasserman 1722 I Street, N.W Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 736-8034 /s/ Judy Sello
Mark C. Rosenblum
Peter H. Jacoby
Judy Sello
Room 324511
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920
(908) 221-8984

Attorneys for AT&T Corp.

COMPARISON OF RBOC LINE PORT AND TRUNK PORT COSTS WITH LOCAL SWITCHING REVENUES

| Ameritech Bell South USWest Bell Atlantic** DC Maryland Virginia West Virginia New Jersey Pennsylvania | Current LS Band Revenues (A) 469,430,465 512,930,997 422,482,095 456,466,017 | Line Port Cost Exogenous Revenue Effects (B) (80,720,730) (108,780,260) (111,443,000) (164,773,271) | Line Port Exogenous Percentage Change (C)=B/A -17.20% -21.21% -26.38% -36.10% | Line Port Cost Percentage Identified in Cost Studies (D) 27.00% 30.80% 37.80% | Trunk Port Exogenous Cost (E) 35,143,859 13,883,825 43,477,567 31,581,439 | Trunk Port Exogenous Percentage Change (F)=E/A 7.49% 2.71% 10.29% 36.20% | Trunk Port Cost Percentage Identified in Cost Studies (G) 11.40% 3.40% 9.80% ▼ 10.98% 8.84% 6.48% 6.56% 9.29% 5.59% |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Delaware NYNEX** New York Mass Maine New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont | 748,293,156 | (116,807,333) | -15.61% | 44.72% | 50,896,447 | 6.80% | 9.52% |
| SWBeli** Arkansas Kansas Missouri Oklahoma Texas | 299,516,336 | (43,790,148) | -14.62% | ▼ 27.56% 23.57% 20.82% 22.33% 14.78% | 48,324,613 | 16.13% | ▼ 8.53% 12.87% 20.70% 12.69 22.96% |

^{**} Line and Trunk Port Cost Study percentages are only available at the state level.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE IN GTOC'S ISDN LINE PORT CHARGES

| Company | ISDN - BRI | ISDN - PRI | GTOC | GTOC | | | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| | Per | Per | Avg. Rate | Avg. Rate | BRI % | BRI % | Source |
| GTOC | Arrangement | Arrangement | BRI | PRI | diff. of average | diff. of average | |
| Alabama | \$3.11 | \$35.34 | \$3.68 | \$44.47 | 84.49% | 79.47% | |
| Alaska | \$8.46 | \$105.31 | | | 229.82% | 236.83% | |
| Arkansas | \$2.94 | \$35.67 | | | 79.87% | 80.22% | |
| California | \$2.01 | \$25.50 | | | 54.60% | 57.35% | |
| California -wc | \$13.89 | \$176.40 | | | 377.33% | 396.70% | |
| Florida | \$3.02 | \$38.48 | | | 82.04% | 86.54% | Service Rates Per GTE |
| Hawaii | \$2.25 | \$28.67 | | | 61.12% | 64.47% | FCC Tariff #1 End User |
| Idaho | \$4.55 | \$ 52.97 | | | 123.60% | 119.12% | Section 13.11 |
| Illinois | \$2.80 | \$34.98 | | | 76.06% | 78.67% | |
| Indiana | \$2.51 | \$30.53 | | | 68.19% | 68.66% | |
| lowa | \$2.44 | \$27.84 | | | 66.28% | 62.61% | |
| Kentucky | \$2.51 | \$31.71 | | | 68.19% | 71.31% | Arrangement Rates per |
| Michigan | \$1.55 | \$18.65 | | | 42.11% | 41.94% | GTE Misc. Services |
| Minnesota | \$6.19 | \$68.81 | | | 168.16% | 154.74% | FCC Tariff #1 Section |
| Missouri | \$2.76 | \$31.38 | | | 74.98% | 70.57% | 6.15 |
| Nebraska | \$1.89 | \$22.27 | | | 51.34% | 50.08% | |
| New Mexico | \$5.92 | \$69.71 | | | 160.82% | 156.77% | |
| N.Carolina | \$3.32 | \$37.72 | | | 90.19% | 84.83% | |
| Ohio | \$1.98 | \$25.06 | | | 53.79% | 56.36% | |
| Oklahoma | \$2.39 | \$27.75 | | | 64.93% | 62.41% | |
| Oregon | \$3.04 | \$39.87 | | | 82.58% | 89.66% | |
| Pennsylvania | \$1.84 | \$21.97 | | | 49.98% | 49.41% | |
| S.Carolina | \$3.05 | \$35.85 | | | 82.86% | 80.62% | |
| Texas | \$2.59 | \$33.50 | | | 70.36% | 75.34% | |
| Virginia | \$8.00 | \$88.35 | | | 217.33% | 198.69% | |
| Washington | \$2.76 | \$37.10 | | | 74.98% | 83.43% | |
| Wisconsin | \$1.62 | \$19.22 | | | 44.01% | 43.22% | |

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE IN GTSC'S ISDN LINE PORT CHARGES

| COMPANY | ISDN - BRI Per | ISDN - PRI Per | GTSC Avg. Rate | GTSC Avg. Rate | BRI % | BRI % | Source |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| GTSC | Arrangement | Arrangement | BRI | PRI | diff. of average | diff. of average | _ |
| Alabama | \$2.71 | \$30.77 | \$4.45 | \$52.12 | 60.89% | 59.03% | |
| Arkansas | \$3.42 | \$4 1.50 | | | 76.84% | 79.62% | |
| California | \$1.76 | \$ 25.44 | | | 39.55% | 48.81% | |
| Illinois | \$3.66 | \$ 45.68 | | | 82.24% | 87.64% | |
| Indiana | \$2.22 | \$26.98 | | | 49.88% | 51.76% | Services Rates per Contel |
| lowa | \$2.83 | \$32.22 | | | 63.59% | 61.81% | FCC Tariff #1 End User Sec. 4.7.3 |
| Kentucky | \$2.12 | \$26.77 | | | 47.63% | 51.36% | |
| Minnesota | \$2.50 | \$27.85 | | | 56.17% | 53.43% | |
| Missouri | \$3.56 | \$40.53 | | | 79.99% | 77.76% | |
| Nevada | \$17.21 | \$188.67 | | | 386.69% | 361.96% | |
| New Mexico | \$9.13 | \$107.48 | | | 205.14% | 206.20% | Arrangement Rates per |
| N. Carolina | \$2.99 | \$33.48 | | | 67.18% | 64.23% | Contel FCC Tariff #1 |
| Pennsylvania | \$3.71 | \$44.39 | | | 83.36% | 85.16% | Misc. Serv.Sect. 8.13 |
| S.Carolina | \$7.19 | \$84.45 | | | 161.55% | 162.02% | |
| Texas | \$2.03 | \$26.28 | | | 45.61% | 50.42% | |
| Virginia | \$ 5.10 | \$56.29 | | | 114.59% | 107.99% | |
| Washington | \$3.52 | \$47.34 | | | 79.09% | 90.82% | |

US West Reallocation of 1/3 Tandem Switch Revenue Requirement From TIC

EXHIBIT C Page 1

| JS West | Percent of Tandem Switching Rev Req in Original TIC ¹ (A) | Current TIC as Filed ¹ (B) | Residual Tandem Switching Rev Req (C) = (A*B) | SS7 and Tandem Port Rev Req ¹ (D) | Residual Tandem Switching Rev Req Subject to Reallocation (E) = (C-D) | Filed 1/3 of Residual Tandem Switching to be Removed From TIC 1/1/98 (F) = (E/3) |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| JS West Letter Filing (11/26/97) | 13.11% | \$ 324,659,863 | \$ 42,561,775 | \$ 18,472,000 | \$ 24,089,775 | \$ 8,029,925 |
| | Percent of Tandem Switching Rev Req in Original TIC ¹ (A) | Current TIC per 1997 Annual Filing ² (B) | Residual Tandem Switching Rev Req (C) = (A*B) | SS7 and Tandem Port Rev Req ¹ (D) | Residual Tandem Switching Rev Req Subject to Reallocation (E) = (C-D) | Required 1/3 of Residual Tandem Switching to be Removed From TIC 1/1/98 (F) = (E/3) |
| As Calculated Tandem Switching Rev Reg to be removed from TIC | 13.11% | \$ 506,396,252 | \$ 66,386,782 | \$ 18,472,000 | \$ 47,914,782 | \$ 15,971,594 |

1 Source: US West Letter Filing, Workpaper 13

2 Source: 1997 Annual Access Filing

LEC Reallocation of 1/3 Tandem Switch Revenue Requirement From TIC

EXHIBIT D Page 1

| | LTR Filing Transmittal No. | 20% of Tandem Switch Rev Req Included in Rates (A) | Total Original Tandem Switch Rev Req (B) = (A*5) | 80% of Tandem Switch Rev Req Included in Original TIC (C) = (B*80%) | Original TIC (1993)2 (D) | Ratio (E) = (C/D) | • | Extant Portion of TIC Subject to Reallocation (G) = (E*F) | | Difference From Filing (I) = (G-H) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--|
| Amerited | ch #764 | \$ 11,250,737 | \$ 56,253,685 | \$ 45,002,948 | \$ 314,483,902 | 14.31% | \$ 343,261,427 | \$ 49,121,039 | \$ 44,865,823 | \$ 4,255,216 |
| Bell Sout | t #165 | \$ 11,015,591 | \$ 55,077,955 | \$ 44,062,364 | \$ 254,392,026 | 17.32% | \$ 300,108,192 | \$ 51,980,703 | \$ 48,775,237 | \$ 3,205,466 |
| GTE | #852 | \$ 16,809,025 | \$ 84,045,125 | \$ 67,236,100 | \$ 140,890,732 | 47.72% | \$ 179,313,284 | \$ 85,572,172 | \$ 57,435,019 | \$ 28,137,153 |
| Contel | #73 | 2263988 | \$ 11,319,940 | \$ 9,055,952 | \$ 23,380,541 | 0.387329 | \$ 25,003,061 | \$ 9,684,400 | \$ 8,000,578 | \$ 1,683,822 |
| Sprint | #343 | \$ 5,242,284 | \$ 26,211,420 | \$ 20,969,136 | \$ 76,876,116 | 27.28% | \$ 98,367,268 | \$ 26,831,176 | \$ 24,693,843 | \$ 2,137,333 |

¹ From respective LEC's indicated Transmittal, RTE-1 pg 3 of 21, Line 406, Column G

² From respective LEC's indicated Transmittal, RTE-1 pg 2 of 21, Line 381, Column G

³ Source: 11/26/97 Letter Filings - Ameritech, Bell South, Contel, GTE, Sprint/Centel

TIC INCREASES RESULTING FROM COMMON TRANSPORT VOLUME ADJUSTMENTS - SUMMARY

EXHIBIT E Page 1

| Company | Company Total |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| Ameritech | \$4,199,570 |
| Bell South | \$2,189,957 |
| Southwestern Bell | \$10,261,172 |
| US West | \$17,867,588 |
| Frontier | |
| Frontier - Rochester | \$701,637 |
| Frontier - MN/IA | \$164,344 |
| Sprint | \$8,026,207 |
| GTE System | \$2,124,721 |
| GTE Telephone | \$9,506,064 |
| Cincinnati | \$1,139,536 |
| Aliant | \$1,083,464 |
| Total- All Companies | \$57,264,260 |

TIC INCREASES RESULTING FROM COMMON TRANSPORT VOLUME ADJUSTMENTS - DETAIL

| Company | Source | _ | C | ompany Total |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Ameritech Bell South Southwestern Bell US West | Ex. 16, p. 1, L8, Col. E App. C, WP COM_TRAN, L38 Ex. 16-2 WP 14, Col. F | | | \$4,199,570 \$2,189,957 \$10,261,172 \$17,867,588 |
| Frontier Total | | | | \$865,981 |
| , roma | Ex. 1-18, p. 2, L24 Ex. 2, p. 2, L24 | Study Area Rochester Minnesota/lowa | <u>Study Area Total</u> \$701,637 \$164,344 | 0000,001 |
| Sprint Total | Ex. 3-12, p. 1, Col. C | Study Area Florida Illinois Indiana Nevada North Carolina Ohio Eastern Midwest Northwest | \$1,693,960 \$88,738 \$854,832 \$175,398 \$508,565 \$1,395,390 \$621,829 \$1,675,075 \$404,448 | \$8,026,207 |
| | | Southeast | \$607,972 | |
| GSTC Total | Ex. 16, Col. C | Study Area Arkansas Arizona-West California Iowa Kentucky New Mexico Pennsylvania Texas Washington | \$4,663 \$124,175 \$1,473,216 \$292,388 -\$27,034 \$103,881 \$2,003 \$93,034 \$58,396 \$0 | \$2,124,721 |
| GTOC Total | Ex. 16, Col. C | Study Area Alaska Alabama Arkansas California Florida Hawaii lowa Idaho Indiana Kentucky Michigan Missouri North Carolina Nebraska New Mexico Ohio Oklahoma Pennsylvania South Carolina Virginia Washington Wisconsin | \$0 \$20,762 \$199,608 \$46,527 \$198,651 \$161,846 \$1,029,213 \$56,431 \$45,588 \$1,221,589 \$450,581 \$2,187,655 \$103,712 \$18,598 \$57,653 \$180,335 \$1,705,360 \$3,002 \$139,561 \$129,725 \$13,433 \$538,248 \$997,986 | \$9,506,064 |
| Cincinnati Aliant Total - All Compani | EXG-TST REIN, L19 EXG-TST, L9 | | 455.,556 | \$1,139,536 \$1,083,46 \$ 57,264,26 |

GTOC's Calculation

AT&T's Calculation

| | | Exhibit 15 Page 1 Col F 1996 DS3 to DS1 Tandem Switching MOU's | Exhibit 15 Page 1 Col E Shared Multiplexer Rate Rate per min | Exhibit 15 Page 1 Col G DS3 to DS1 Mux Revenue | Exhibit 14 Page 2 Col L 1996 Switched Transport Termination MOU's | Exhibit 15 Page 1 Col E 'Shared Multiplexer Rate | Re-Calculated DS3 to DS1 Mux Revenue | |
|----------------|-------|--|---|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | Δ | Kate per min | C | ם | Rate per min | - | Difference |
| COSA | Zone | | | C = B*A | 2 | _ | E F=D°E | <u>G</u> G=F-C |
| Alabama | | 227,850,611 | \$ 0.0000888 | \$ 20,233 | 517,886,833 | \$ 0.0000888 | £ 45 000 | 0.05.755 |
| Alaska | | 0 | \$ 0.0000478 | \$ 0 | 1,769,776 | \$ 0.000088 | \$ 45,988 \$ 85 | \$ 25,755 |
| Arkansas | | 126,742,674 | \$ 0.0000638 | \$ 8,086 | 307,217,532 | \$ 0.0000478 | | \$ 85 |
| California | 1 1 | 268,357,152 | \$ 0.0000204 | \$ 5,474 | 2,426,068,873 | \$ 0.000038 | \$ 19,600 \$ 49,492 | \$ 11,514 \$ 44,017 |
| } | 2 | 112,633,310 | \$ 0.0000211 | \$ 2,377 | 941,886,881 | \$ 0.0000204 | \$ 49,492 \$ 19,874 | \$ 44,017 \$ 17,407 |
| | 3 | 1,869,204,711 | \$ 0.0000218 | \$ 40,749 | 1,433,683,659 | \$ 0.0000211 | \$ 19,674 \$ 31,254 | \$ 17,497 |
| 1 | total | ,,, | 7 0.0000210 | \$ 48,600 | 1,500,000,000 | # U.UUUZ 10 | \$ 31,25 4 \$ 100,620 | \$ (9,494) \$ 52,020 |
| California-WC | 1 | 12,799,210 | \$ 0.0000478 | \$ 612 | 31,801,599 | \$ 0.0000478 | \$ 1,520 | \$ 52,020 |
| Florida | 1 1 | 847,610,579 | \$ 0.0000790 | \$ 66,961 | 1,807,769,127 | \$ 0.0000790 | \$ 1,320 \$ 142,814 | \$ 75,853 |
| | 2 | 340,376,425 | \$ 0.0000889 | \$ 30,259 | 814,529,625 | \$ 0.0000780 | \$ 72,412 | \$ 42,152 |
| | 3 | 340.376.425 | \$ 0.0000988 | \$ 33,629 | 954,200,160 | \$ 0.0000988 | \$ 94,275 | \$ 60,646 |
| | total | 1.2,1.2,1.2 | 7 5/10000 | \$ 130,850 | 001,200,100 | 4 0.000000 | \$ 309,500 | \$ 178,651 |
| Hawaii | 1 1 | 649,785,682 | \$ 0.0001103 | \$71,671 | 1,382,901,741 | \$ 0.0001103 | \$ 152,534 | \$ 80,863 |
| | 2 | 420,399,819 | \$ 0.0001103 | \$ 46,370 | 1,571,127,192 | \$ 0.0001103 | \$ 173,295 | \$ 126,925 |
| | 3 | 213,063,545 | \$ 0.0001103 | \$ 23,501 | 622,469,979 | \$ 0.0001103 | \$ 68,658 | \$ 45,158 |
| | total | | , | \$ 141,542 | 322, 100,010 | V 0.0001100 | \$ 394,488 | \$ 252,945 |
| Idaho | all | 170,182,003 | \$ 0.0001672 | \$ 28,454 | 400,187,481 | \$ 0.0001672 | \$ 66,911 | \$ 38,457 |
| Illinois | 1 | 294,176,440 | \$ 0.0001162 | \$ 34,183 | 632,578,298 | \$ 0.0001162 | \$ 73,506 | \$ 39,322 |
| | 2 | 249,900,018 | \$ 0.0001466 | \$ 36,635 | 789,674,312 | \$ 0.0001466 | \$ 115,766 | \$ 79,131 |
| | 3 | 310,955,804 | \$ 0.0001466 | \$ 45,586 | 953,774,550 | \$ 0.0001466 | \$ 139,823 | \$ 94,237 |
| | total | | , | \$ 116,405 | | V 0.000 1.00 | \$ 329,095 | \$ 212,690 |
| Indiana | all | 1,049,515,807 | \$ 0.0000855 | \$ 89,734 | 2,692,371,293 | \$ 0.0000855 | \$ 230,198 | \$ 140,464 |
| lowa | all | 227,777,750 | \$ 0.0000867 | \$ 19,748 | 584,473,337 | \$ 0.0000867 | \$ 50,674 | \$ 30,926 |
| Kentucky | all | 591,983,128 | \$ 0.0001178 | \$ 69,736 | 1,306,908,260 | \$ 0.0001178 | \$ 153,954 | \$ 84,218 |
| Michigan | all | 896,106,218 | \$ 0.0001007 | \$ 90,238 | 2,412,407,548 | \$ 0.0001007 | \$ 242,929 | \$ 152,692 |
| Minnesota | all | 1,103,987 | \$ 0.0000860 | \$ 95 | 11,153,956 | \$ 0.0000860 | \$ 959 | \$ 864 |
| Missouri | all | 192,875,374 | \$ 0.0001225 | \$ 23,627 | 510,824,783 | \$ 0.0001225 | \$ 62,576 | \$ 38,949 |
| Nebraska | all | 88,723,602 | \$ 0.0000913 | \$ 8,100 | 208,834,787 | \$ 0.0000913 | \$ 19,067 | \$ 10,966 |
| New Mexico | all | 124,909,000 | \$ 0.0000630 | \$ 7,869 | 220,098,132 | \$ 0.0000630 | \$ 13,866 | \$ 5,997 |
| North Carolina | 1 | 210,656,975 | \$ 0.0001126 | \$ 23,720 | 435,396,265 | \$ 0.0001126 | \$ 49,026 | \$ 25,306 |
| | 2 | 76,477,994 | \$ 0.0001126 | \$ 8,611 | 173,556,428 | \$ 0.0001126 | \$ 19,542 | \$ 10,931 |
| | 3 | 21,857,957 | \$ 0.0001126 | \$ 2,461 | 63,472,078 | \$ 0.0001126 | \$ 7,147 | \$ 4,686 |
| | total | | | \$ 34,793 | | | \$ 75,715 | \$ 40,922 |
| Ohio | all | 1,191,637,066 | \$ 0.0000897 | \$ 106,890 | 3,230,817,939 | \$ 0.0000897 | \$ 289,804 | \$ 182,915 |

GTOC's Calculation

AT&T's Calculation

| | | Exhibit 15 | Exhibit 15 | Exhibit 15 | Exhibit 14 | Exhibit 15 | | <u> </u> |
|----------------|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | Page 1 Col F 1996 | Page 1 Col E | Page 1 Col G | Page 2 Col L 1996 | Page 1 Col E | Re-Calculated | |
| | | DS3 to DS1 | Shared | DS3 to DS1 | Switched Transport | 'Shared | DS3 to DS1 | |
| | | Tandem Switching MOU's | Multiplexer Rate | Mux Revenue | Termination MOU's | Multiplexer Rate | Mux Revenue | |
| | 1 1 | | Rate per min | | | Rate per min | | Difference |
| | 1_ 1 | Δ | B | ⊊ | ם | Ē | E | G |
| COSA | Zone | | | C = B*A | | | F=D*E | G=F-C |
| Oklahoma | 1 | 41,205,112 | \$ 0.0000939 | \$ 3,869 | 67,666,655 | \$ 0.0000939 | \$ 6,354 | \$ 2,485 |
| | 2 | 36,848,461 | \$ 0.0001230 | \$ 4,532 | 226,982,400 | \$ 0.0001230 | \$ 27,919 | \$ 23,386 |
| | 3 | 70,658,604 | \$ 0.0001295 | \$ 9,150 | 23,717,426 | \$ 0.0001295 | \$ 3,071 | \$ (6,079) |
| | total | | | \$ 17,552 | | | \$ 37,344 | \$ 19,792 |
| Oregon | 1 | 526,167,196 | \$ 0.0001030 | \$ 54,195 | 717,236,937 | \$ 0.0001030 | \$ 73,875 | \$ 19,680 |
| | 2 | 227,823,784 | \$ 0.0001046 | \$ 23,830 | 337,622,101 | \$ 0.0001046 | \$ 35,315 | \$ 11,485 |
| | 3 | 282,001,665 | \$ 0.0001046 | \$ 29,497 | 399,439,389 | \$ 0.0001046 | \$ 41,781 | \$ 12,284 |
| <u> </u> | total | | | \$ 107,523 | | | \$ 150,972 | \$ 43,449 |
| Pennsylvania | 1 1 | 151,969,952 | \$ 0.0000755 | \$ 11,474 | 307,659,883 | \$ 0.0000755 | \$ 23,228 | \$ 11,755 |
| | 2 | 149,985,309 | \$ 0.0000755 | \$ 11,324 | 403,373,638 | \$ 0.0000755 | \$ 30,455 | \$ 19,131 |
| | 3 | 95,890,546 | \$ 0.0000780 | \$ 7,479 | 42,045,356 | \$ 0.0000780 | \$ 3,280 | \$ (4,200) |
| | total | | | \$ 30,277 | <u> </u> | | \$ 56,963 | \$ 26,685 |
| South Carolina | ali | 339, 164, 223 | \$ 0.0000874 | \$ 29,643 | 891,488,351 | \$ 0.0000874 | \$ 77,916 | \$ 48,273 |
| Texas | 1 | 834,483,527 | \$ 0.0000930 | \$ 77,607 | 1,619,081,003 | \$ 0.0000930 | \$ 150,575 | \$ 72,968 |
| | 2 | 312,003,606 | \$ 0.0000942 | \$ 29,391 | 585,955,002 | \$ 0.0000942 | \$ 55,197 | \$ 25,806 |
| | 3 | 605,205,545 | \$ 0.0001046 | \$ 63,305 | 1,481,951,670 | \$ 0.0001046 | \$ 155,012 | \$ 91,708 |
| | total | | | \$ 170,302 | | | \$ 360,784 | \$ 190,481 |
| Virginia | all | 55,282,502 | \$ 0.0000751 | \$ 4,152 | 78,758,090 | \$ 0.0000751 | \$ 5,915 | \$ 1,763 |
| Washington | 1 | 64,007,187 | \$ 0.0000661 | \$ 4,231 | 979,404,695 | \$ 0.0000661 | \$ 64,739 | \$ 60,508 |
| | 2 | 94,894,928 | \$ 0.0000744 | \$ 7,060 | 515,955,002 | \$ 0.0000744 | \$ 38,387 | \$ 31,327 |
| | 3 | 501,536,896 | \$ 0.0000826 | \$ 41,427 | 412,944,023 | \$ 0.0000826 | \$ 34,109 | \$ (7,318) |
| | total | | | \$ 52,718 | | | \$ 137,235 | \$ 84,517 |
| Wisconsin | all | 531,793,188 | \$ 0.0000759 | \$ 40,363 | 1,782,166,365 | \$ 0.0000759 | \$ 135,266 | \$ 94,903 |
| Micronesia | all | 30,710,387 | \$ 0.0001178 | \$ 3,618 | 61,420,774 | \$ 0.0001178 | \$ 7,235 | \$ 3,618 |
| Subtotal | | | | \$ 1,401,760 | | | \$ 3,377,180 | |
| | | | | GTOC total | | | Recalculated total | Difference |
| | | Total Allocate | d to Tandem Switched | | Total Allocated | to Tandem Switched | | |
| | | | Transport Band S | 1,401,760 | | Transport Band | \$ 3,377,180 | \$ 1,975,421 |
| | | | Removed from TIC | (1,401,760) | | Removed from TIC | \$ (3,377,180) | \$ (1,975,421) |

Re-Calculation of GTE System Telephone Companies DS3/DS1 Minutes of Use

GSTC's Calculation

AT&T's Calculation

| | | Exhibit 15 Page 1 Col F 1996 DS3 to DS1 Tandem Switching MOU's | Exhibit 15 Page 1 Col E Shared Multiplexer Rate | Exhibit 15 Page 1 Col G DS3 to DS1 Mux Revenue | Exhibit 14 Page 1 Col L 1996 Switched Transport Termination MOU's | Exhibit 16 Page 1 Col E Shared Multiplexer Rate | Re-Calculated DS3 to DS1 Mux Revenue | |
|------------------------|------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|-------------------|
| | | | Rate per min | | | Rate per min | _ | Difference |
| | | Δ | B | <u>C</u> | D . | E | E F=D*E | <u>G</u> G=F-C |
| State | Zone | | | C = B*A | | | F=0"E | G=F-C |
| Al-1- | ali | 4.313.101 | \$ 0.0000937 | \$ 404 | 411,727,606 | \$ 0.0000937 | \$ 38,579 | \$ 38,175 |
| Alabama | | 20,279,493 | \$ 0.0000937 | \$ 0 | 52,191,656 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Arizona-West | ali ali | 134,585,060 | \$ 0.0000922 | \$ 12,409 | 395,211,195 | \$ 0.0000922 | \$ 36,438 | \$ 24,030 |
| Arkansas | | 561,250,743 | \$ 0.0000322 | \$ 18,914 | 1,562,148,447 | \$ 0.0000337 | \$ 52,644 | \$ 33,730 |
| California | all all | 221,769,261 | \$ 0.0001061 | \$ 23,530 | 875,518,070 | \$ 0.0001061 | \$ 92,892 | \$ 69,363 |
| Illinois | ali ali | 250,034,122 | \$ 0.0001031 | \$ 20,928 | 722,289,090 | \$ 0.0000837 | \$ 60,456 | \$ 39,528 |
| Indiana | ali ali | 168,545,421 | \$ 0.0000393 | \$ 6,624 | 492,881,423 | \$ 0.0000393 | \$ 19,370 | \$ 12,746 |
| lowa | | 100,545,421 | \$ 0.0001139 | \$0 | 271,633,627 | \$ 0.0001139 | \$ 30,939 | \$ 30,939 |
| Kentucky | all | 1,103,987 | \$ 0.0000546 | \$ 60 | 412,846,248 | \$ 0.0000546 | \$ 22,541 | \$ 22,481 |
| Minnesota | all | 372,423,320 | \$ 0.0001404 | \$ 52,288 | 822,703,424 | \$ 0.0001404 | \$ 115,508 | \$ 63,219 |
| Missouri | all | 124,948,820 | \$ 0.0000100 | \$ 1,249 | 382,625,985 | \$ 0.0000100 | \$ 3,826 | \$ 2,577 |
| Nevada | ali | 85,008,203 | \$ 0.0000825 | \$ 7,013 | 159,979,361 | \$ 0.0000825 | \$ 13,198 | \$ 6,185 |
| New Mexico | all | 175,904,490 | \$ 0.0000972 | \$ 17,098 | 654,708,952 | \$ 0.0000972 | \$ 63,638 | \$ 46,540 |
| North Carolina | all | 48,538,703 | \$ 0.0000572 | \$ 3,174 | 375,150,674 | \$ 0.0000654 | \$ 24,535 | \$ 21,360 |
| Pennsylvania | all | 130,888 | \$ 0.0000712 | \$ 9 | 62,653,171 | \$ 0.0000712 | \$ 4,461 | \$ 4,452 |
| South Carolina | all | 20,910,753 | \$ 0.0001115 | \$ 2,332 | 446,216,036 | \$ 0.0001115 | \$ 49 ,753 | \$ 47,422 |
| Texas | all | 926,269,326 | \$ 0.0001113 | \$ 103,186 | 2,999,193,468 | \$ 0.0001114 | \$ 334,110 | \$ 230,924 |
| Virginia | all | 91,566,328 | \$ 0.0001114 | \$ 11,748 | 259,793,000 | \$ 0.0001283 | \$ 33,331 | \$ 21,583 |
| Washington Subtotal | all | 91,500,520 | \$ 0.0001203 | \$ 280,967 | | | \$ 996,221 | \$ 715,254 |
| Juntotal | | | | GTSC total | T . I Allo and - d to 7 | Tandom Switchad | Recalculated total | Difference |
| | | Total Allocated to T | Fandem Switched Transport Band | \$ 280,563 | Total Allocated to T | Transport Band | \$ 957,642 | \$ 677,079 |
| | | Re | emoved from TIC | \$ (280,563) | Re | emoved from TIC | \$ (957,642) | \$ (677,079) |
| | | | | | | | | |

Impact of Change in Minute of Use per Voice Grade Trunk

| | Line # | Ameritech | Source | Southwestern Bell | Source |
|--|--------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1997 Tandem Switched Transport Revenue as Filed Using Actual MOU per Trunk from 11-26-97 filing | 1 | \$10,484,405 | APPENDIX X Page 2 Line 29 | \$13,074,748 | APPENDIX X Page 4 Line 29 |
| 1997 Tandem Switched Transport Revenue Recalculated Using 9000 Average MOU per Trunk | 2 | \$8,488,224 | APPENDIX X Page 3 Line 29 | \$15,928,311 | APPENDIX X Page 5 Line 29 |
| Difference | 3 | \$1,996,181 | Line 1 - Line 2= | (\$2,853,563) | Line 2 - Line 1= |
| Re-calculated Exogenous Change in TIC for 11-26-97 filing | 4 | (\$1,996,180) | 1- Line 3 | \$2,853,564 | 1- Line 3 |
| Filed Exogenous Increase to TIC, 11-26-97 | 5 | \$4,199,570 | AMTR Trans No. 1135 Exhibit 16 | \$10,261,172 | SW Letter 11-26-97 Exhibit 16-2 |
| Difference due to LEC Methodology | 6 | \$6,195,750 | Line 9 - Line 8 | \$7,407,608 | Line 5 - Line 4 |

Ameritech's Tandem Switched Transport Rate Development Model Using 7332 Minutes per Trunk

| Line # | Rate Development Item | Formula | Amount | Source |
|--------|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$705 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 1 *2 |
| 2 | DS3-DS1 Mux Rate | | \$629 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 2 |
| 3 | DS3 Fixed Sum Rate | Line 1 + Line 2 | \$1,334 | |
| 4 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 7332* 672 | 4,927,104 | Minutes from AM Trans No. 1135 Ex. 15 |
| 5 | DS3 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 3 / Line 4 | \$ 0.000271 | |
| 6 | Fiber Deployment % | | 97.00% | AM Trans No.113 Ex 15 |
| 7 | DS3 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 5 * Line 6 | \$ 0.000263 | |
| 8 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$109 | AM Trans No.1135 Ex 15 , Ln 2 |
| 9 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 7332* 672 | 4,927,104 | Minutes from AM Trans No. 1135 Ex. 15 |
| 10 | DS3 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 8 / Line 9 | \$ 0.000022 | |
| 11 | Fiber Deployment % | | 97.00% | AM Trans No. 113 Ex 15 |
| 12 | DS3 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 10 * Line 11 | \$ 0.000021 | |
| 13 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$145 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 2 Ln 5 |
| 14 | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 7332* 24 | 175,968 | Minutes from AM Trans No. 1135 Ex. 15 |
| 15 | DS1 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 13 / Line 14 | \$ 0.000824 | |
| 16 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.00% | AM Trans No. 113 Ex 15 |
| 17 | DS1 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 15 * Line 16 | \$ 0.000025 | |
| 18 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$25 | AM Trans No.1135 Ex 15 , Ln 10 |
| | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 7332* 24 | 175,968 | Minutes from AM No. 1135 Ex. 15 |
| 20 | DS1 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 18 / Line 19 | \$ 0.000142 | |
| 21 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.00% | AM Trans No. 113 Ex 15 |
| 22 | DS1 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 20 * Line 21 | \$ 0.000004 | |
| 23 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per MOU | Line 7 + Line 17 | \$0 | |
| 24 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per Minute Mile | Line 12 + Line 22 | \$0 | |
| 25 | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minutes | | 9,251,545,213 | AM Trans No. 1135, Combined Zones 1,2,3 |
| | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minutes | | 301,112,477,529 | AM Trans No. 1135, Combined Zones 1,2,3 |
| 27 | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minute Revenue | Line 23 * Line 25 | \$2,655,193 | |
| | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minute Revenu | | \$7,828,924 | |
| 20 | Turistic Component Compone | · | | |
| 29 | Total Tandem Switched Transport Revenue | Line 27 + Line 28 | \$10,484,405 | AM Trans 1135, Ex 16, Line 7 |

EXHIBIT H Page 3

Ameritech's Tandem Switched Transport Rate Development Model Using 9000 Minutes per Trunk

| Line# | Rate Development Item | <u>Formula</u> | Amount | Source |
|-------|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$ 705 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 1 *2 |
| 2 | DS3-DS1 Mux Rate | | \$ 629 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 2 |
| 3 | DS3 Fixed Sum Rate | Line 1 + Line 2 | \$1,334 | |
| 4 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 9000* 672 | 6,048,000 | |
| 5 | DS3 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 3 / Line 4 | \$ 0.000220 | |
| 6 | Fiber Deployment % | | 97.00% | AM Trans No.113 Ex 15 |
| 7 | DS3 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivalen | t Line 5 * Line 6 | \$ 0.000214 | |
| 8 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$109 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 2 |
| 9 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | AVG MOU* 672 | 6,048,000 | |
| 10 | DS3 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 8 / Line 9 | \$ 0.000018 | |
| 11 | Fiber Deployment % | | 97.00% | AM Trans No. 113 Ex 15 |
| 12 | DS3 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 10 * Line 11 | \$ 0.000017 | |
| 13 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$145 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 2 Ln 5 |
| 14 | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | AVG MOU *24 | 216,000 | |
| 15 | DS1 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 13 / Line 14 | \$ 0.000671 | |
| 16 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.00% | AM Trans No. 113 Ex 15 |
| 17 | DS1 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 15 * Line 16 | \$ 0.000020 | |
| 18 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$25 | AM Trans No. 1135 Ex 15 , Ln 10 |
| 19 | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | AVG MOU * 24 | 216,000 | |
| 20 | DS1 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 18 / Line 19 | \$ 0.000116 | |
| 21 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.00% | AM Trans No. 113 Ex 15 |
| 22 | DS1 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 20 * Line 21 | \$ 0.000003 | |
| 23 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per MOU | Line 7 + Line 17 | \$ 0.000234 | |
| 24 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per Minute Mile | Line 12 + Line 22 | \$ 0.000021 | |
| 25 | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minutes | | | AM Trans No. 1135, Combined Zones 1,2,3 |
| 26 | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minutes | | 301,112,477,529 | AM Trans No. 1135, Combined Zones 1,2,3 |
| | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minute Revenue | | \$2,164,862 | |
| 28 | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minute Revenu | Line 24 * Line 26 | \$6,323,362 | |
| 29 | Total Tandem Switched Transport Revenue | Line 27 + Line 28 | \$8,488,224 | AM Trans No. 1135, Ex 16, Line 7 |

Southwestern Bell's Tandem Switched Transport Rate Development Model Using 10785 Minutes per Trunk

| EXHIBIT | Н |
|----------------|---|
| Page | 4 |

| Line # | Rate Development Item | <u>Formula</u> | Amount | Source |
|--------|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| 1 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$815 | |
| 2 | DS3-DS1 Mux Rate | | \$ 0 | 3 *** == 2 * () = 1 * () * () = 1 * () |
| 3 | DS3 Fixed Sum Rate | Line 1 + Line 2 | \$815 | |
| 4 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 10785* 672 | 7,247,520 | |
| 5 | DS3 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 3 / Line 4 | \$ 0.000112 | |
| 6 | Fiber Deployment % | | 96.37% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 3 |
| 7 | DS3 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivalent | t Line 5 * Line 6 | \$ 0.000108 | |
| 8 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$118 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 12 |
| 9 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 10785* 672 | 7,247,520 | 3 3 |
| 10 | DS3 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 8 / Line 9 | \$ 0.000016 | |
| 11 | Fiber Deployment % | | 96.37% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 3 |
| 12 | DS3 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 10 * Line 11 | \$ 0.000016 | • |
| 13 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$50 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 6 |
| 14 | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 10785 *24 | 258,840 | , |
| 15 | DS1 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 13 / Line 14 | \$ 0.000193 | |
| 16 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.63% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 5 |
| 17 | DS1 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 15 * Line 16 | \$ 0.000007 | |
| 18 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$17 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 13 |
| 19 | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 10785 * 24 | 258,840 | • |
| 20 | DS1 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 18 / Line 19 | \$ 0.000065 | |
| 21 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.63% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 4 |
| 22 | DS1 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivalent | Line 20 * Line 21 | \$ 0.000002 | |
| 23 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per MOU | Line 7 + Line 17 | \$0 | |
| 24 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per Minute Mile | Line 12 + Line 22 | \$ 0.000018 | |
| 25 | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minutes | | 18,300,997,207 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex. 16-2 |
| 26 | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minutes | | 609,216,951,870 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex. 16-2 |
| 27 | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minute Revenue | Line 23 * Line 25 | \$2,104,615 | |
| | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minute Revenu | | \$10,965,905 | |
| 29 | Total Tandem Switched Transport Revenue | Line 27 + Line 28 | \$13,074,748 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex. 16-2 |

Southwestern Bell's Tandem Switched Transport Rate Development Model Using 9000 Minutes per Trunk

EXHIBIT H Page 5

| _ine | Rate Development Item | <u>Formula</u> | Amount | <u>Source</u> |
|------|---|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$815 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 5 |
| 2 | DS3-DS1 Mux Rate | | \$ 0 | • |
| 3 | DS3 Fixed Sum Rate | Line 1 + Line 2 | \$815 | |
| 4 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | 9000* 672 | 6,048,000 | |
| 5 | DS3 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 3 / Line 4 | \$ 0.000135 | |
| 6 | Fiber Deployment % | | 96.37% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 3 |
| 7 | DS3 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivaler | nt Line 5 * Line 6 | \$ 0.000130 | • |
| 8 | DS3 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$118 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 12 |
| 9 | DS3 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | AVG MOU* 672 | 6,048,000 | |
| 10 | DS3 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 8 / Line 9 | \$ 0.000020 | |
| 11 | Fiber Deployment % | | 96.37% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 3 |
| 12 | DS3 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivaler | nt Line 10 * Line 11 | \$ 0.000019 | |
| 13 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Fixed rate | | \$50 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 6 |
| 14 | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | AVG MOU *24 | 216,000 | |
| 15 | DS1 Fixed Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 13 / Line 14 | \$ 0.000231 | |
| 16 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.63% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 5 |
| 17 | DS1 Weighted Fixed Rate per MOU Equivalen | nt Line 15 * Line 16 | \$ 0.00008 | |
| 18 | DS1 DTT Channel Mileage- Per Mile Rate | | \$17 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 13 |
| 19 | DS1 Assumed MOU per VG Equivalent Trunk | AVG MOU * 24 | 216,000 | • |
| 20 | DS1 Per Mile Rate Per MOU Equivalent | Line 18 / Line 19 | \$ 0.000078 | |
| 21 | Copper Deployment % | | 3.63% | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex 16-1, Line 4 |
| 22 | DS1 Weighted Per Mile Rate per MOU Equivalen | t Line 20 * Line 21 | \$ 0.000003 | |
| 23 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per MOU | Line 7 + Line 17 | \$ 0.000138 | |
| 24 | Tandem Switched Transport Rate per Minute Mile | Line 12 + Line 22 | \$ 0.000022 | |
| 25 | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minutes | | 18,300,997,207 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex. 16-2 |
| 26 | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minutes | | 609,216,951,870 | SW Letter Filing 11-26-97, Ex. 16-2 |
| 27 | Tandem Switched Transport Fixed Minute Revenue | Line 23 * Line 25 | \$2,525,538 | |
| 28 | Tandem Switched Transport Facility Minute Revenue | Line 24 * Line 26 | \$13,402,773 | |
| 29 | Total Tandem Switched Transport Revenue | Line 27 + Line 28 | \$15,928,311 | |

COMPARISON OF AMERITECH'S COMMON TRANSPORT MULTIPLEXING RATE TO OTHER LEC'S

| | Common Transport DS3/DS1 Mux Rate per min. A | | Rate per min. % Deviation From | | Total Revenues | | | djustment to | Source | |
|---------------------|--|----------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----|--------------|------------------|--|
| Company | | | AMTR | # Minutes | | | | TIC | | |
| | | | В | С | D | | E | | | |
| | | | | | | D=A*C | | E= 1-D | | |
| Ameritech | \$ | 0.000038 | 0.0% | 11,553,695,840 | \$ | 439,040 | \$ | (439,039) | Exhibit 14 | |
| Bell Atlantic-North | \$ | 0.000191 | 502.6% | 8,478,079,331 | \$ | 1,617,572 | \$ | (1,617,571) | Workpaper TANMUX | |
| Bell Atlantic-South | \$ | 0.000106 | 278.9% | 12,787,420,918 | \$ | 1,349,847 | \$ | (1,349,846) | Workpaper TANMUX | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Comparison of Ameritech's Rate Development Methodology With Other LEC's

| Bell Atlantic's Rate Development Methodology | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------|---|----------|--|---|-----------|---------------------------------|--------|--|
| Company | Current DS1/DS3 Mux Rate A | | AVG MOU Per Trunk B | % Fiber C | PER MOU MUX Rate D D=(A/672)/(B*C) | | Common Transport Base Period Minutes E | Common Transport Revenue Requirement F F=D*E | | TIC Exog. Chan G G=1-F | | |
| Bell Atlantic-N | \$ | 950.00 | 7037 | 94.97% | \$ | 0.000191 | 8,478,079,331 | \$ | 1,617,572 | \$ (1.6 | 17,571 | |
| Bell Atlantic-S | \$ | 525.00 | 5820 | 78.64% | \$ | 0.000106 | 12,787,420,918 | \$ | 1,349,847 | \$ (1,3 | | |

| Company | Current DS1/DS3 Mux Rate A | AVG MOU Per Trunk B | % Fiber C | Illustrative PER MOU MUX Rate D D=(A/672)/(B*C) | Illustrative Common Transport Base Period Minutes E | Illustrative Common Transport Revenue Requirement F F=D*E | Illustrative TIC Exog. Change G G=1-F | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Ameritech | \$ 628.98 Exhibit 15 Line 2 | 7332 Exhibit 15 Line 7 | 97.00% Exhibit 15 Line 4 | \$ 0.000124 | 11,553,695,840 Exhibit 14, Col A | \$ 1,430,664 | \$ (1,430,663) | |

LEC'S TIC RECALCUALTION ANALYSIS SUMMARY

| Aliant | Failed to perform Delta Z calculation for Excessive Targeted TIC dollars. |
|--------|---|
| AM | Failed to provide any documentation on TIC-True-Up Calculations. The Facilities Based Portion Of the Residual TIC is not equal to the figure in Ln 690 of CAP-1. Line 670 which, if correct, would require a Delta Z due to excessive TIC targeting. |
| ВА | Bell Atlantic Failed to provide any documentation on TIC True-Up Calculations. The Facilities portion of TIC Ln 690 does not match with the figures on the SVCTIC WP. The maximum revenue figure of \$141,994,269 on Ln 670 CAP-1, if correct would require Delta Z's. |
| BS | Bell South appears to use the correct methodology in their TIC True-Up calculation. Further their TIC-WP is consistent with the FCC Order 97-158. |
| СВ | CB Failed to provide any documentation on TIC-True-Up Calculations. |
| CTZN | Citizens failed to provide clear documentation. There also are inconsistencies in the data used in the various calculations. As a consequence, AT&T is unable to interpret CTZN's data. |
| GT0C | GTOC fails to show all components of it's TIC Reallocation in their TIC True-up Calculations. GTE used the Existing TIC amount (11/26/97) instead of the 6/30/97 amount for their True-Up calculation. The facilities based costs with the TIC Analysis on Exhibit #3 and Ln 690 of CAP-1. |
| NYNEX | NYNEX Failed to provide any documentation on TIC True-Up Calculations and on the Facilities portion of TIC Ln 690. |
| RTNY | Frontier failed to include all components of TIC Reallocation in the TIC True-up Calculations. Frontier used the Existing TIC amount (11/26/97) instead of the 6/30/97 amount in their True-Up calculation. The facilities based cost in TIC is not demonstrated. |
| SNET | SNET failed to include all TIC components in their calculation of the TIC True-Up. In addition SNET utilized the existing (11/27/97) TIC instead of the existing 6/30/97 TIC. |
| SPRT | Sprint Failed to provide any documentation on it's TIC True-Up or on it's Facilities calculations shown on TIC Ln 690. |
| SW | SWB appears to have included all components of the TIC in it's True-Up calculation. SWB, however, used the existing (11/26/97) TIC verses the 6/30/97 TIC. Finally, SWB should include 100% of the TIC related COE Maintenance. |
| usw | It is not clear how USW calculated it's residual and cost based components of it's TIC. It is clear that they utilized the existing (11/26/97) TIC amount instead of the existing TIC amount 6/30/97 in their calculation. |

COMPARISON OF LEC's 1997 - 1998 END USER COMMON LINE DEMAND

| | 7/1/97 END MLB/ | USER COMM PRES/SLB | ION LINE | JANUARY | 1, 1998 END CENTREX | USER COMI | MON LINE EUC PRES/SLB | L LIFELINE | 1998 MLB/Centrex | 1998 NP-RES + | 1998 LIFELINE | |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| LEC/COSA | CENTREX | | EUCL | ISDN-PRI | | ISDN-BRI | | EUCL | Deviation | PRES/SLB Deviation | Deviation | EUCL Deviation |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) | (I)=D+E-A | (J)=F+G-B | (K)=H-C | (L)=I+J |
| 1 AMTR | 71,308,045 | 154,287,955 | 1,911,549 | 44,015,269 | 26,581,108 | 16,571,513 | 138,428,110 | 1,911,549 | (711,668) | 711,668 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 BATR | 80,648,352 | 158,944,746 | 333,738 | 50,157,444 | 28,603,104 | 16,317,516 | 144,586,182 | 515,982 | (1,887,804) | 1,958,952 | 182,244 | 71,148 |
| 3 BSTR | 70,590,543 | 183,170,220 | 2,965,743 | 70,269,844 | 0 | 17,157,727 | 166,333,192 | 2,965,743 | (320,699) | 320,699 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 NXTR | 59,814,433 | 130,524,523 | 11,949,753 | 42,925,903 | 15,288,386 | 10,121,158 | 121,569,367 | 11,982,847 | (1,600,144) | 1,166,002 | 33,094 | (434,142) |
| 5 COAL | 151,752 | 1,122,396 | 0 | 125,352 | 26,400 | 15,792 | 1,106,604 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 COAT | 201,516 | 1,176,564 | 3,948 | 180,384 | 21,132 | 44,844 | 1,131,720 | 3,948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 COAZ | 21,456 | 65,052 | 336 | 18,792 | 2,664 | 432 | 64,620 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| 8 COCA | 749,448 | 2,605,296 | 755,748 | 508,452 | 235,356 | 55,428 | 2,549,868 | 755,748 | (5,640) | 0 | 0 | (5,640) (336) |
| 9 COIL | 341,076 | 1,789,344 | 0 | 279,096 | 61,644 | 32,640 | 1,756,704 | 0 | (336) | 0 | 0 | (330) |
| 10 COIN | 316,728 | 1,705,956 | 0 | 305,040 | 11,688 | 38,532 | 1,667,424 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 COIT | 261,420 | 1,525,476 | 0 | 221,532 | 39,888 | 36,420 | 1,489,056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 COKY | 121,236 | 919,284 | 0 | 106,884 | 14,352 | 14,808 | 904,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 COMN | 175,176 | 1,191,984 | 0 | 122,292 | 52,884 | 40,332 | 1,151,652 | 7 042 | (48) | 0 | 0 | (48) |
| 14 COMT | 537,264 | 2,633,220 | 7,812 | 442,980 | 94,236 | 75,156 | 2,558,064 | 7,812 | (48) | 0 | . 0 | 0 |
| 15 CONC | 179,220 | 1,182,876 | 10,740 | 130,548 | 48,672 | 24,708 | 1,158,168 | 10,740 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | Ō |
| 16 CONM | 77,868 | 360,840 | 24,888 | 68,400 | 9,468 | 9,240 | 351,600 | 24,888 0 | (1,056) | 0 | Ö | (1,056) |
| 17 CONV | 73,320 | 289,980 | 0 | 43,992 | 28,272 | 21,996 | 267,984 | 0 | (1,030) | ő | 0 | (168) |
| 18 COPT | 228,468 | 968,940 | 0 | 144,192 | 84,108 | 43,920 | 925,020 | 0 | (100) | 0 | 0 | O O |
| 19 COSC | 39,708 | 206,076 | 0 | 33,924 | 5,784 | 7,596 | 198,480 | 40,812 | 0 | ō | 0 | 0 |
| 20 COTX | 327,204 | 2,072,292 | 40,812 | 320,952 | 6,252 | 108,660 | 1,963,632 | 26,436 | (10,224) | Ō | 0 | (10,224) |
| 21 COVA | 1,238,472 | 4,393,692 | 26,436 | 885,804 | 342,444 | 247,776 | 4,145,916 | 24,672 | (24) | Ō | 0 | (24) |
| 22 COWA | 159,660 | 733,164 | 24,672 | 131,268 | 28,368 | 26,556 | 706,608 24,097,596 | 895,392 | (17,496) | ō | 0 | (17,496) |
| 23 TOTAL CONTE | 5,200,992 | 24,942,432 | 895,392 | 4,069,884 | 1,113,612 | 844,836 | 24,097,090 | 095,552 | (17,100) | | | • |
| | | | | 4 040 004 | 470 640 | 139,260 | 6,301,176 | 0 | (2,064) | 0 | 0 | (2,064) |
| 24 GAIL | 1,421,388 | 6,440,436 | 0 | 1,248,684 | 170,640 | 219,120 | 6,161,928 | ō | (3,576) | 0 | 0 | (3,576) |
| 25 GAIN | 1,793,568 | 6,381,048 | 0 | 1,390,908 | 399,084 | 194,724 | 6,591,336 | 139,932 | (120) | 0 | 0 | (120) |
| 26 GAMI | 1,347,420 | 6,786,060 | 139,932 | 1,169,916 | 177,384 | 2,244 | 97,860 | 20,244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 GNCA | 30,720 | 100,104 | 20,244 | 28,176 | 2,544 32,688 | 2,736 | 121,728 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 GTAK | 81,732 | 124,464 | 0 | 49,044 | 83,916 | 28,548 | 1,420,512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 GTAL | 286,092 | 1,449,060 | 0 | 202,176 | 1,488 | 15,264 | 806,304 | 29,328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 GTAR | 118,200 | 821,568 | 29,328 | 116,712 | 3,877,392 | 1,746,372 | 26,923,404 | 5,480,880 | (57,600) | 0 | 0 | (57,600) |
| 31 GTCA | 10,456,572 | 28,669,776 | 5,480,880 | 6,521,580 | 1,054,596 | 1,139,520 | 17,046,372 | 0,100,100 | (42,168) | 0 | 0 | (42,168) |
| 32 GTFL | 6,547,704 | 18,185,892 | 70.456 | 5,450,940 | 573,360 | 104,928 | 5,473,476 | 78,456 | (10,872) | 0 | 0 | (10,872) |
| 33 GTHI | 2,364,828 | 5,578,404 | 78,456 | 1,780,596 195,912 | 3,732 | 19,188 | 1,149,156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 GTIA | 199,644 | 1,168,344 | 16.256 | 145,848 | 108,360 | 32,388 | 1,009,812 | 16,356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 GTID | 254,208 | 1,042,200 | 16,356 | 140,040 | 100,000 | 52,000 | -,,- | | | | | |

COMPARISON OF LEC's 1997 - 1998 END USER COMMON LINE DEMAND

| | 7/1/97 END USER COMMON LINE | | | | 1, 1998 END | 1998 | 1998 | 1998 | 1998 | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| | MLB/ | PRES/SLB | LIFELINE | MLB/ | CENTREX | | PRES/SLB | LIFELINE | MLB/Centrex | NP-RES + | | |
| LEC/COSA | CENTREX | | EUCL | ISDN-PRI | | ISDN-BRI | | EUCL | Deviation | PRES/SLB | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Deviation | | Deviation |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) | (I)=D+E-A | (J)=F+G-B | (K)=H-C | (L)=I+J |
| 36 GTKY | 953,256 | 3,676,284 | 0 | 625,560 | 324,936 | 105,288 | 3,570,996 | 0 | (2,760) | 0 | 0 | (2,760) |
| 37 GTMC | 34,704 | 177,108 | 0 | 32,616 | 2,088 | 2,076 | 175,032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 GTMN | 3,660 | 34,596 | 1,056 | 3,660 | 0 | 408 | 34,188 | 1,056 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 |
| 39 GTMO | 279,036 | 1,059,048 | 1,464 | 214,248 | 64,788 | 52,428 | 1,006,620 | 1,464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 GTNC | 720,972 | 1,398,396 | 6,828 | 442,548 | 261,696 | 74,892 | 1,323,504 | 6,828 | (16,728) | 0 | 0 | (16,728) |
| 41 GTNE | 152,652 | 483,540 | 0 | 93,072 | 59,580 | 12,708 | 470,832 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 GTNM | 118,368 | 380,040 | 11,184 | 111,156 | 7,212 | 17,364 | 362,676 | 11,184 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 GTOH | 1,641,252 | 7,745,664 | 46,188 | 1,292,376 | 347,268 | 194,808 | 7,550,856 | 46,188 | (1,608) | 0 | 0 | (1,608) |
| 44 GTOK | 204,816 | 1,011,312 | 0 | 184,428 | 20,388 | 69,960 | 941,352 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 GTOR | 1,189,476 | 3,693,036 | 57,564 | 997,776 | 172,188 | 167,028 | 3,526,008 | 57,564 | (19,512) | 0 | 0 | (19,512) |
| 46 GTPA | 1,132,260 | 4,790,532 | 0 | 928,512 | 203,436 | 122,604 | 4,667,928 | 0 | (312) | 0 | 0 | (312) |
| 47 GTSC | 478,512 | 1,475,772 | 0 | 416,028 | 62,484 | 44,892 | 1,430,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 GTTX | 3,614,916 | 12,576,480 | 139,980 | 2,276,568 | 1,319,316 | 1,233,408 | 11,343,072 | 139,980 | (19,032) | 0 | 0 | (19,032) |
| 49 GTVA | 58,164 | 329,856 | 8,988 | 57,384 | 780 | 5,292 | 324,564 | 8,988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 GTWA | 1,690,452 | 6,003,624 | 141,084 | 1,243,152 | 405,588 | 472,488 | 5,531,136 | 141,084 | (41,712) | 0 | 0 | (41,712) |
| 51 GTWI | 841,188 | 4,497,948 | 39,528 | 788,052 | 53,136 | 101,004 | 4,396,944 | 39,528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 TOTAL GTE | 38,015,760 | 126,080,592 | 6,219,060 | 28,007,628 | 9, 790,068 | 6,320,940 | 119,759,652 | 6,219,060 | (218,064) | 0 | 0 | (218,064) |
| 53 SNET | 6,288,086 | 16,906,860 | 757,400 | 4,740,881 | 1,516,777 | 1,068,392 | 15,868,896 | 757,400 | (30,428) | 30,428 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 GRAND TOTAL | 331,866,211 | 794,857,328 | 25,032,635 | 244,186,853 | 82,893,055 | 68,402,082 | 730,642,995 | 25,247,973 | (4,786,303) | 4,187,749 | 215,338 | (598,554) |

4,644

514.236

17,364

7.212

366,732

11,184

COMPARISON OF LEC'S EUCL AND PICC VOLUMES

PICC DEMAND **JANUARY 1, 1998** JANUARY 1, 1998 END USER COMMON LINE (EUCL) PICC TOTAL LIFELINE NP-RES PRES/SLB LIFELINE TOTAL MLB/ **CENTREX** NP-RES PRES/SLB MLB/ **CENTREX** Deviation ISDN-PRI ISDN-BRI PICC **EUCL** ISDN-PRI ISDN-BRI (M)=L-F (L) (F) (1) (J) (K) (B) (C) (D) (E) (G) (H) (A) (2.281,343)225,226.206 26,581,108 16,571,513 138,428,110 1.886.923 26,581,108 16.571.513 138,428,110 1.911.549 227.507.549 41,758,552 1 AMTR 44.015.269 6,046,896 29,993,208 16,616,148 146,726,094 515.982 246,227,124 240,180,228 52,375,692 28.603.104 16.317.516 144.586.182 515,982 2 BATR 50.157.444 261,571,020 4.844,514 2.965.743 17.936.373 17,207,378 166,333,192 17,157,727 166.333.192 2,965,743 256,726,506 57,128,334 3 BSTR 70.269.844 0 4.970.078 206.857,739 45,528,836 16,394,438 10 121.158 122.830.460 11.982.847 11,982,847 201,887,661 15,288,386 10.121.158 121,569,367 42,925,903 4 NXTR 1,294,644 20.496 1.122.300 0 29,208 15.792 0 1.274.148 127,344 125,352 26,400 15,792 1.106.604 5 COAL 18.060 1,400,088 44,844 1.148,424 3,948 3.948 1.382.028 181,740 21,132 21,132 44.844 1,131,720 180,384 6 COAT 1,620 88,464 66,036 336 336 86.844 18,876 2,784 432 432 64.620 2,664 18,792 7 COAZ 4.186.992 82,140 755,748 55.524 2.619.012 241,572 2.549.868 755,748 4.104.852 515,136 235,356 55,428 8 COCA 508.452 2,174,628 44,544 1,794,948 0 32,760 282,036 64.884 0 2.130.084 279,096 61.644 32,640 1.756.704 9 COIL 42.624 2.065,308 1.704,444 38.532 0 0 2,022,684 309,120 13,212 1,667,424 11,688 38,532 10 COIN 305,040 1.827.228 40,332 1.526,700 0 36,420 0 1,786,896 223,572 40.536 1.489.056 39,888 36,420 221.532 11 COIT 9,516 0 1,050,036 912,288 14,904 14.808 108,036 904.476 0 1.040,520 106,884 14.352 14,808 12 COKY 21,828 1.388.988 40.332 1.168.164 0 54.744 0 1,367,160 125,748 122,292 52.884 40.332 1,151,652 13 COMN 53,460 3,231,708 75.168 2,605,332 7.812 447,432 95,964 7.812 3.178,248 94,236 75.156 2.558.064 14 COMT 442.980 1,387,392 14,556 1,170,048 10.740 24,708 49.056 10.740 1.372.836 132.840 24,708 1.158,168 48,672 130.548 15 CONC 6.720 470,316 357.108 24.888 9.240 10.344 463,596 68,736 9.240 351,600 24.888 68,400 9,468 16 CONM 6.372 368,616 272,496 0 29,040 21,996 0 362,244 45,084 267,984 43,992 28,272 21.996 17 CONV 28,524 0 1,225,764 90,300 47,964 940.104 0 1,197,240 147.396 925.020 43.920 84.108 18 COPT 144,192 1.500 0 247.284 7.596 199,824 5.904 33.960 198,480 0 245.784 7.596 33.924 5.784 19 COSC 27.312 2,467,620 40,812 108,660 1.987.416 6.432 324,300 40,812 2,440,308 6.252 108.660 1,963,632 320,952 20 COTX 84,204 5,732,580 4.209,900 26,436 892,596 355,680 247.968 26.436 5.648.376 4,145,916 247,776 885,804 342,444 21 COVA 14,676 719,448 24,672 932,148 26.568 28,428 24,672 917.472 133.032 706,608 26.556 28,368 22 COWA 131,268 518,484 31.539.804 24,523,992 895,392 849.312 1.154,124 4,116,984 24,097,596 895,392 31,021,320 844.836 23 TOTAL CONTEL 4.069.884 1.113.612 243,000 8.102,760 0 6,508,752 139,404 1,272,924 181,680 0 7.859.760 139,260 6,301,176 170,640 1.248.684 24 GAIL 285.768 8,456,808 6.364.992 0 219.564 458,496 1,413,756 0 8.171.040 219,120 6.161.928 399.084 1,390,908 25 GAIN 178,032 8,451,324 195,204 6.736,224 139,932 197.892 8,273,292 1,182,072 194,724 6,591,336 139,932 177,384 1,169,916 26 GAMI 156,156 5.088 20,244 102,540 2.244 28,512 2,616 151,068 20.244 97,860 2.544 2.244 28,176 27 GNCA 0 206,196 2,736 121,728 49,044 32,688 206,196 0 2.736 121,728 32,688 49,044 28 GTAK 37,356 1,772,508 0 28,548 1.450.500 86,400 207,060 1,735,152 0 28,548 1,420,512 83,916 202,176 29 GTAL 983,844 14,748 818.796 29,328 1.560 15,264 118.896 969.096 15.264 806,304 29.328 1,488 116,712 30 GTAR 633,156 5.480.880 45,182,784 27,288,396 4.008,264 1.750.068 44.549,628 6,655,176 26,923,404 5,480,880 3,877,392 1.746,372 6.521,580 390,216 31 GTCA 25.081.644 17,329,308 0 1.149.780 1,114,524 5.488.032 0 24,691,428 17.046.372 1.139.520 5,450,940 1.054.596 188,472 32 GTFL 78,456 8.199.288 5,591,328 106,224 617,184 1,806,096 78,456 8.010,816 104,928 5,473,476 573,360 1,780,596 65,088 33 GTHI 1.433.076 0 1,207,716 19,188 4.440 1.367.988 201.732 0 3.732 19,188 1.149.156 195,912 29,712 34 GTIA 1,342,476 1.031.592 16,356 111,432 32.412 16,356 1.312,764 150,684 1,009,812 32,388 145,848 108,360 103,704 35 GTID 4.730.484 3,648,828 0 105,456 338,856 4,626,780 637.344 3.570.996 0 324,936 105,288 625,560 211,812 0 36 GTKY 0 175.032 2.076 2,088 32,616 211.812 0 2.076 175,032 2,088 32,616 2.604 37 GTMC 1.056 41,916 36,636 408 3,816 1.056 39,312 34,188 408 0 3.660 24.912 1,364,460 38 GTMN 52,428 1.028,616 1,464 64,908 217,044 1,464 1.339,548 1.006,620 52,428 64,788 67,680 214,248 **39 GTMO** 6,828 2,177,148 75,828 1.364.592 277,872 452,028 2.109.468 6,828 1.323.504 261,696 74.892 20,976 40 GTNC 442,548 0 657,168 490,440 12,708 59.688 636,192 94,332 470,832 0

509,592

11,184

362,676

111,744

59,580

7,212

93.072

111,156

41 GTNE

42 GTNM

12,708

17,364

COMPARISON OF LEC'S EUCL AND PICC VOLUMES

EXHIBIT L Page 2

| | JANUAR' MLB/ ISDN-PRI | Y 1, 1998 EN CENTREX | ID USER O NP-RES ISDN-BRI | OMMON LINE PRES/SLB | (EUCL) LIFELINE | TOTAL EUCL | MLB/ ISDN-PRI | JANUARY CENTREX | 1, 1998 P NP-RES ISDN-BRI | ICC DEMAN PRES/SLB | D LIFELINE | TOTAL PICC | PICC Deviation |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) | (1) | (7) | (K) | (L) | (M)=L-F |
| із СТОН | 1,292,376 | 347,268 | 194,808 | 7,550,856 | 46,188 | 9,431,496 | 1,312,200 | 356,832 | 195,288 | 7,755,948 | 46,188 | 9,666,456 | 234,960 |
| 14 GTOK | 184,428 | 20,388 | 69,960 | 941,352 | 0 | 1,216,128 | 185,880 | 21,384 | 69,960 | 951,048 | 0 | 1,228,272 | 12,144 |
| 15 GTOR | 997,776 | 172,188 | 167,028 | 3,526,008 | 57,564 | 4,920,564 | 1,007,220 | 175,128 | 168,804 | 3,578,952 | 57,564 | 4,987,668 | 67,104 |
| 16 GTPA | 928,512 | 203,436 | 122,604 | 4,667,928 | 0 | 5,922,480 | 941,604 | 221,112 | 122,676 | 4,760,220 | 0 | 6,045,612 | 123,132 |
| 17 GTSC | 416,028 | 62, 484 | 44,892 | 1,430,880 | 0 | 1,954,284 | 425,688 | 64,644 | 44,892 | 1,464,288 | 0 | 1,999,512 | 45,228 |
| 18 GTTX | 2,276,568 | 1,319,316 | 1,233,408 | 11,343,072 | 139,980 | 16,312,344 | 2,317,308 | 1,377,588 | 1,234,164 | 11,577,108 | 139,980 | 16,646,148 | 333,804 |
| 19 GTVA | 57,384 | 780 | 5,292 | 324,564 | 8,988 | 397,008 | 58,584 | 792 | 5,292 | 330,624 | 8,988 | 404,280 | 7,272 |
| 50 GTWA | 1,243,152 | 405,588 | 472,488 | 5,531,136 | 141,084 | 7,793,448 | 1,267,176 | 432,528 | 488,976 | 5,619,648 | 141,084 | 7,949,412 | 155,964 |
| 51 GTWI | 788,052 | 53,136 | 101,004 | 4,396,944 | 39,528 | 5,378,664 | 799,872 | 53,568 | 101,004 | 4,500,168 | 39,528 | 5,494,140 | 115,476 |
| 52 TOTAL GTE | 28,007,628 | 9,7 90,068 | 6,320,940 | 119,759,652 | 6,219,060 | 170,097,348 | 28,438,440 | 10,271,376 | 6,357,960 | 122,200,752 | 6,219,060 | 173, 487,58 8 | 3,390,240 |
| 53 SNCT | 4,740,881 | 1,516,777 | 1,068,392 | 15,868,896 | 757,400 | 23,952,346 | 4,984,109 | 1,715,376 | 1,072,855 | 15,868,896 | 757,400 | 24,398,636 | 446,290 |
| 54 GRAND TOTAL | 244,186,853 | 82.893.055 | 68.402.082 | 730.642.995 | 25,247,973 | 1,151,372,958 | 234,330,947 | 104,046,003 | 68,796,324 | 736,911,496 | 25,223,347 | 1,169,308,117 | 17,935,159 |